

QUINTESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF SERVICE-LEARNING

Primary Author Rob Shumer

Contributing Authors
Pat Duttweiler, Andrew Furco, Madeline Hengel, and Gwen Willems

The Shumer Self-Assessment for Service-Learning (SSASL) is designed as a self-reflective system for professionals in the service-learning and experiential learning fields. What follows is a series of instruments and analysis worksheets arranged to help individuals evaluate their current service-learning initiatives to improve and strengthen them.

© Copyright December 2000 by the Center for Experiential and Service-Learning, Department of Work, Community, and Family Education, College of Education and Human Development, University of Minnesota St. Paul, Minnesota

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The development of these instruments and self-reflective processes is the result of a collective effort on the part of many people. Without the sincere cooperation and effort of these collaborators, this project would never have gotten off the ground.

There are many people and organizations that should be acknowledged for their outstanding contributions. Our effort to mention them here is a small token of thanks for the many hours of effort and thought that helped shape the current products and process.

I would like to first thank the Corporation for National Service and Marilyn Smith, Director of the Office of Service-Learning, who funded and supported the effort for three years. Their ability to mobilize financial and human resources was the driving force behind most of the work done on behalf of the Fund for the Advancement for Service-Learning (FASL), which created the opportunity to develop the ultimate instrument—the FASL SSASL!

Second, Pat Duttweiler (assisted by Susie Turbeville), from Clemson University, and Andy Furco (assisted by Hyung-Jung Lee), from UC Berkeley, performed invaluable work conducting interviews and evaluation in the field, and providing extensive feedback on the development of the instruments and process throughout the three-year period.

Third, Dale Blyth from the University of Minnesota 4-H Foundation, Alan Melchior from Brandeis University, and Tom Owens from the Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory provided insightful feedback as Advisory Group members, suggesting many valuable ways to improve the nature of the self-reflective process. Their expertise and vision helped to shape the form of the instruments and the nature of the process.

Special thanks go to Center for Experiential Education and Service-Learning staff who were instrumental in developing the project. Graduate research coordinators/researchers Maddie Hengel and Gwen Willems helped revise sections of the instruments and conducted interviews with participants in individual and group settings. They also helped analyze data. Web designer Jodie Gustafson helped format the final document. Fiscal managers, Carol Ashton, Lorri Glazier, and Diane Burgers handled execution of the contracts and other financial matters. Michelle Kamenov, project coordinator, dealt with logistical arrangements for meetings, as well as processed forms for payments and assisted with materials development.

Last, the fifty-four participants, their programs, and the Learn and Serve Coordinators (Wade Brynelson, Kate Cumbo, Marlys Bucher/Carter Hendricks, Stan Potts, Joe Herrity, Kathy Gibson, Dick Grover, and Joe Follman) from eight great states (California, Colorado, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Iowa, South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida) perhaps contributed the most through their professional review of the documents and their tremendous insight into what makes a good self-assessment system. Their commitment and comments helped shape the entire process.

Rob Shumer Principal Investigator

Introduction

The purpose of this self-assessment is both formative and summative. It is designed to gather information helpful to you—to improve your service-learning initiative, report on it, publicize it, secure support for it, or seek funding for it. You can choose any of a variety of ways to use it. Your focus can be on a class, grade, school, district, etc. You choose how often to use it, and when. It can be used for formative purposes at the beginning of the semester or year, monitoring in the middle, and summative evaluation at the end.

This instrument was tested for three years with service-learning practitioners in eight states. The 23 statements are based upon the theories of experiential learning and several previous endeavors to establish standards for the service-learning field: the Alliance for Service-Learning in Educational Reform (ASLER) Standards, the Wingspread Principles, and the Essential Elements developed by the National Service-Learning Cooperative.

The instrument's 23 statements are organized in sections recommended by practitioners and researchers. This representation of service-learning includes five sections with a base of *Culture* and *Context*. Culture and Context, along with Philosophy and Purpose, describes the setting and environment for the service-learning initiatives. Policy and Parameters includes the school, district, and state policies and structures that prescribe the service-learning initiatives. The top two sections, Practice and Pedagogy and Assessment and Accountability, describe what is done to implement service-learning and how results are evaluated and reported.

Directions

STEP 1 Complete Part I: Quick Assessment.



The self-assessment system is divided up into two parts. **Part I** is a 23-question rapid assessment, designed to provide you with a quick analysis of the strengths and weaknesses of your initiative. The answers are "Weak," "Needs Work," or "Strong." It will take only 10-15 minutes to complete.

STEP 2 Briefly Review Priorities for Improvement and Strengths of Your Service-Learning Initiative.



Once you have completed Part I, focus on the statements that cover areas you would like to improve. Identify areas in which you can most influence change in a reasonable amount of time and/or that are your highest priorities for improvement. By completing Part II, you can look at those weak areas in more depth.

STEP 3 Complete Part II: In-Depth Analysis.

Part II is a much longer and more detailed version of Part I. The 23 statements are the same in both versions. Part II questions provide the opportunity to focus your attention on some specific components of the Part I general statements.

For example, in Part I, Statement 14, you are asked whether "students are involved in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing service-learning initiatives." You respond in one of three ways: "Weak" (we don't involve students); "Needs Work" (we do it sometimes, but not as often as we would like); or "Strong" (we do it all the time in all areas and this is one of the strengths of our practice). If you choose "Weak" or "Needs Work," select Statement 14 on Part II, and there you will find five separate queries. Answering these questions will help you to think more critically about the range of issues in your service-learning initiative and plan changes to address problems in this area.

With Part II, you can decide to select individual statements, or you can decide to complete entire sections. It is your choice. Answer as few or as many questions as you have both time and inclination to pursue. Remember, the purpose for participating in a self-assessment process is to help you identify areas for improvement and potential strategies for that improvement. There are no right or wrong answers!



STEP 4 Plan and Take Action.

Follow Up. One of the most consistent things we learned during the three years of piloting this process is that instruments, by themselves, have limited value. The self-assessment process is enhanced most when individuals take the responses to the surveys and **discuss the results** with others knowledgeable about service-learning and educational reform. We encourage you to do the same. Fill out the Action Plan on the last page of this instrument. Be sure to share your responses with colleagues in your school, your district, and the larger service-learning community so that others can help you chart a course to ensure necessary improvements are made to develop the best initiative possible.

PART I: Quick Assessment

Before turning to Part I, examine Your Service-Learning Context
To better assess your initiative, please explain the context in which you do service-learning.
We define service-learning as:
The purpose of our service-learning initiative/program is:
Our primary goal(s) for service-learning is (are):
Typical activities performed by students doing service-learning include:
We typically assess student learning and impact of service by:
This self-assessment is focused on our district school other:

Directions for Part I

For each of the 23 statements, choose and check off one response that indicates the current status of your service-learning initiative. Each statement represents a positive, desirable goal for effective service-learning. Consider having students and your colleagues (teachers, administrators, parents, and other community members) fill out Part I and discuss reflections as a group.

- Select "Weak" if the area or element is not in place or there are conditions that work against it. This is where you encounter "Barriers."
- Select "Needs Work" if the area or element is in place, but needs improvement for effective practice.
- Select "Strong" if you think the area or element is in place and operating at a highly effective level. This is where "Assets" contribute to your initiative.

Upon Completion

Note which of the 23 statements you checked as "Weak" or "Needs Work." For a more in-depth analysis of the areas you consider most important to change or most in need of improvement, complete only the corresponding questions on PART II. The second part provides more in-depth questions for each part of items in Part I.

I. Culture and Context	**************************************	M J.	C4
The social and personal climate, as well as the larger setting, in which service-learning	Weak (Barrier)	Needs Work	Strong (Asset)
is planned and implemented			
Cooperative connections between school and community are valued. The relation of the community is distributed and community are valued.			
2. The role of service in improving individual and community quality of life is valued.			
3. Involving students in the development of the learning program is valued.			
4. Learning through real world experience is considered integral to the school and community.			
II. Philosophy and Purpose	Weak	Needs	Strong
The ideas, reasons, intentions, and rationale that guide your service-learning practice	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
5. The purpose(s) of our S-L program is(are) clear to everyone involved.			
6. We consider S-L important in improving teaching and learning.			
7. Our school and/or district's philosophy includes service as a vehicle for learning.			
8. The purpose of our initiative is clearly linked to meaningful activities and learning			
objectives.			
III. Policy and Parameters			
Formal, organizational elements that define service-learning through administrative	Weak	Needs	Strong
policies and support, state and district mandates, board of education policies, school	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
structures, etc.			
9. Our schedules are flexible enough to allow us to meet S-L participant needs.			
10. We have specific curricular goals and guidelines that support S-L initiatives.			
11. There is sustained administrative commitment for developing and implementing			
S-L initiatives.			
12. The district's and/or school's policies support effective S-L.			
13. There is ongoing pertinent staff development for all members of our S-L initiative.			
IV Dunation and Dadagagy			
IV. Practice and Pedagogy What teachers students community partners and administrators do to implement	Weak	Needs	Strong
What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement	Weak (Barrier)	Needs Work	Strong (Asset)
What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning			
What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing			
What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives.			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to 			
What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives.			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. 			
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported 20. Our assessment plan is clear, purposeful, and linked to state standards and district learning objectives. 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported 20. Our assessment plan is clear, purposeful, and linked to state standards and district 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported 20. Our assessment plan is clear, purposeful, and linked to state standards and district learning objectives. 21. Our assessment process is appropriately frequent and thorough. 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)
 What teachers, students, community partners, and administrators do to implement service-learning 14. Students play an active role in selecting, developing, implementing, and assessing S-L initiatives. 15. Structured student reflection encourages critical thinking and is central to fulfillment of curricular objectives. 16. Our program includes training, supervision, and monitoring of S-L and all people involved. 17. S-L students are engaged in responsible and challenging actions for the common good that meet genuine needs in the school or community and have significant consequences. 18. Student learning through service is directly tied to regular class objectives and activities. 19. S-L occurs during regular school hours. V. Assessment and Accountability Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported 20. Our assessment plan is clear, purposeful, and linked to state standards and district learning objectives. 21. Our assessment process is appropriately frequent and thorough. 22. Our assessment looks at the different sectors (students, teachers, parents, 	(Barrier)	Work	(Asset)

Summary of Part I

Planning for Part II

Priorities for improvement

To establish priorities for a more in-depth analysis of your initiative, please write on this page the three statements or sections you identified in Part I as highest priorities for improvement. These are statements to which you answered either "Weak" or "Needs Work." Also include the top three strengths, with "1" being the highest priority.

1			
2			
2			
Strengths			
1.			
2			
2		 	

PART II: In-Depth Analysis

Directions for Part II

Use your Part I responses to complete only the comments and questions in Part II that correspond to the numbered statements in Part I that you considered "Weak" or "Needs Work." (For example, if you checked "Weak" for Statement 4, "The purpose of our S-L program is clear," make comments and answer the two statements under Number 4 in this part of the assessment.)

Part II of this instrument is designed to help you examine in more detail portions of your program that you want to improve. The same 23 statements that you answered in Part I are repeated here. The major difference is that each statement has a series of sub-statements that will help you think more critically about what components of the issue need to be addressed. When the statements have relevance to more than one section, they are repeated in the appropriate sections.

A primary purpose for this survey is to help you examine issues about your program that need improvement. If any question is unclear, answer it as best you can, knowing that the instrument will serve as a point for discussion as you become further engaged in the self-assessment process. It is not necessary to complete this survey; address those questions that will help you further analyze areas for improvement.

Section I. Culture and Context

The social and personal climate, as well as the larger setting in which service-learning is planned and implemented

Please use the following to assess your overall "impression" of service-learning **in your setting**. If you work in service-learning in multiple settings, please choose one on which to concentrate. Write your answers in the space provided and/or use additional paper, if necessary. Mark the response that most closely describes the culture and context that exist in your school or district. District culture is the overall climate created by all of the stakeholders together—students, teachers, administrators, and community members.

together—students, teach	hers, administrators, and community members.					
1. Cooperative Connections Between School and Community Are Valued.						
A) Students value	cooperative educative connections between school and community.					
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:					
B) Teachers value	cooperative educative connections between school and community.					
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:					
C) Administrator	s value cooperative educative connections between school and community.					
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:					
D) Community mo	embers value cooperative educative connections between school and community.					
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:					
E) We value cooperation among all stakeholders: students, teachers, administrators, parents, and other community members.						
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:					

2. The Role of Valued.	Service in Improving Individual and Community Quality of Life Is
A) District and so	hool values are consistent.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
	vice in improving individual and community quality of life is valued by stakeholders: ers, administrators, parents, and other community members.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
C) Youth are valu	ned as resources for solving community problems.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
D) The knowledge	e and skills of community members are valued.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
3. Involving Studen	nts in the Development of the Learning Initiative Is Valued.
	are involved in the learning program's development (students identify projects, make ntacts, implement the project step-by-step, evaluate the initiative, etc.).
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
B) Teachers recog	gnize and value student involvement in program development.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:

C) Administrator	s recognize and value student involvement in program development.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
D) Parents recogn	nize and value student involve ment in program development.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
4. Learning Through Community.	gh Real World Experience Is Considered Integral to the School and
A) Relevance of le	earning experiences tied to the lives of students is valued by all stakeholders.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
B) The role of ref	Form and change in educational improvement is valued by all stakeholders.
Choose One: O Weak Value O Medium Value O Strong Value	Comments:
Other Issues of Cu	Iture and Context You Consider Important:

Section II. Philosophy and Purpose

your answers in the space provided and/or use additional paper, if necessary.

The ideas, reasons, intentions, and rationale that guide your service-learning initiative. In this section, focus on what you hope students will learn from involvement in the service-learning initiative.

Mark the response that most closely describes the philosophy and purpose that exist in your school or district. Write

5. The Purpose(s) of our S-L Program Is(Are) Clear to Everyone Involved.						
A) Teachers involved in our service-learning initiative understand its purpose.						
Comments:						
ved in our service-learning initiative understand its purpose.						
Comments:						
embers involved in our service-learning initiative understand its purpose.						
Comments:						
s involved in our service-learning initiative understand its purpose.						
Comments:						
L Important in Improving Teaching and Learning.						
y that guides the purpose of our initiative is (e.g., students learn citizenship skills mmunity needs or attempting to solve community problems)						
Comments:						
arning philosophy fits in with the educational philosophy of the district.						
Comments:						

7. Our School and	or District's Philosophy Includes Service as a Vehicle for Learning.					
A) Our district an	nd school values are consistent.					
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					
process in the	y of educational improvement assumes service-learning fits in the educational change following ways—students help develop learning objectives, appear excited about what ing, and take more responsibility.					
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					
C) Our teachers u	understand the philosophy of our service-learning initiative.					
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					
D) Our students u	understand the philosophy of our service-learning initiative.					
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					
E) Our administr	rators understand the philosophy of our service-learning initiative.					
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					
F) Our community members understand the philosophy of our service-learning initiative.						
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:					

8. The Purpose of Our Initiative Is Clearly Linked to Academic Activities and Learning Objectives.							
	A) The service-learning initiative serves several complementary purposes, which include (for example, dropout prevention, academic enrichment, etc.):						
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:						
B) School and con learning outco	mmunity work together to develop the service-learning initiative, especially the omes.						
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:						
C) School and con	mmunity objectives for our service-learning initiative are mutually consistent.						
Choose One: O Weak O Needs Work O Strong	Comments:						
Other Issues of Phi	losophy and Purpose You Consider Important:						

Section III. Policy and Parameters

Formal organizational elements that define service-learning through administrative policies and support, state and district mandates, board of education policies, school structures, etc. Please describe the specific policies and parameters that influence your ability to implement high-quality service-learning initiatives.

Note to Administrators: * indicates questions specifically for administrators

Mark the response that most closely describes the policies and parameters that exist in your school or district. Write your answers in the space provided and/or use additional paper, if necessary.								
9. Our Schedules Are Flexible Enough to Allow Us to Meet S-L Participant Needs.								
A) Our school-day schedule allows students to engage in service activities as follows (considerations for this question include your school's scheduling, e.g. modular, block, etc., and what aspects of service-learning, i.e., projects, school-to-work, etc., are scheduled into the school day):								
i)	flexibility in schedule							
Comments:	Rigid/non-flexible	1	2	3	4	(5)	Very flexible	
ii)	time units							
Short/i Comments:	inadequate blocks of time	1	2	3	4	\$	Longer/adequate blocks of service- learning time	
B) The ti	ime students spend doing	service	is inte	egrate	d into	regular	course activities.	
Comments:	Not integrated	1	2	3	4	⑤	Fully integrated	
C) The balance between the time students spend doing service during and outside the school day is the most appropriate to achieve the highest quality of service-learning experience.								
Comments:	No balance	1	2	3	4	\$	Most appropriate balance	

10. We Have Specific Curricular Goals and Guidelines That Support S-L Initiatives.							
A) Service-learning is integrated into the curricula.							
No integration Comments:	1	2	3	4	(5)	Full and appropriate integration	
B) Service-learning curriculum is	develo	ped jo	intly l	y stud	lents, c	community members, and staff.	
Only staff (non-collaborative) Comments:	1	2	3	4	(5)	Totally collaborative	
following:						ict, or state are characterized by the	
i) The following describes r No mandate for service or service-learning	equirer ①	nents f	or grad	duation ④	from 6	our school: Mandate for service or service-learning	
If service-learning is mandated, by whon	n?						
If service-learning is mandated, how?							
Subjects or courses (e.g., English)							
Classes (e.g., Writing 10A)							
Hours							
Honor projects							
Extracurricular							
Other							
Comments:							

ii)	Service-learni	ng is requir	ed by:					
Circle all th	at apply:							
Comments:	School	School di	strict		Stat	e		
iii)	Quality standa	ards for serv	rice-lea	rning a	ıre dev	eloped	by:	
Circle all th	at apply:							
	Teachers	School l	oard		Sch	ool dis	trict	State
iv)	Curriculum st	andards for	learnir	ng are d	levelop	ed by:		
Circle all th	at apply:							
Comments:	Teachers	School	board		Sch	ool dis	trict	State
D) Ther	e are policies fo	or awardi n	g of ac	ademi	c credi	t.		
	ecific policy for edit for service-		1	2	3	4	(5)	Academic credit for service-learning is granted according to school/district policy.
Comments:								
E) Our	service-learnin	g initiative	is avai	ilable t	o all st	tudent	s who	want to participate.
Available	e only to a speci	fic group	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Open to all students
Comments:								
Describe the	e specific group	, if this app	lies. E	xplain	why th	ey wer	e selec	ted.

11. There Is Sustained Administrative Commitment for Developing and Implementing S-L Initiatives. A) Administrative support for our service-learning effort can be characterized as follows: Level of support (circle one number for each administrative level). Select "varies" if the support fluctuates during the year, identifying the range of variation. For example, if support varies between 2 and 4, connect them by drawing a line between the two. Low High Varies (1) 2 (3) 4 (5) Principal(s) (1) 2 (3) 4 (5) Superintendent(s) (1) 2 (3) 4 (5) District administration (such as cabinet, curriculum coordinator/director) 2 (3) 4 (5) (1) School board (3) (1) 2 4 (5) Other ____ Comments: ii) Level of involvement (to what extent they devote time, talent, and resources) Little or no Full Varies involvement involvement (1) 3 (5) (2) (4) Principal(s) (1) 2 (3) 4 (5) Superintendent(s) (2) (3) **(4)** (1) (5) District administration (such as cabinet, curriculum coordinator/director) (1) 3 4 (5) School board (1) 2 (3) 4 (5) Other Comments: iii) Which administrators are fully involved and what are they doing to support service-learning (for example, they support staff with training opportunities, pay for substitute teachers, assist with program development, etc.)? a) b) c) Comments:

	iv)	What further administrat	ive sup	port is	neede	1?				
a)										
b)										
c)										
Commen	ıts:									
B) Funding and other resources that influence the sustainability of our service-learning effort										
		Grant/soft money only	1	2	3	4	(5)	Full district budget line item		
Comm	nents:									
C)	Bread	lth of support								
		Individual teachers	①	2	3	4	<u></u>	Widespread support (teachers, school,		
Comm	ents:		•	0	•	O	•	district, community)		
Comm	icitis.									
D)	System	m levels of support								
		Single school	1	2	3	4	(5)	All schools		
Comm	nents:									
E)	Progr	ram stability								
		High teacher turnover	1	2	3	4	(5)	Minimal teacher turnover		
	i) V	Who do you consider to be	the ess	sential	teache	<u>s</u> nece	ssary fo	or the sustaining of your program?		
Comm	nents:									

High administrator turnover	1	2	3	4	(5)	Minimal administrator turnover
ii) Who do you consider to be program?	e the es	sential	<u>admin</u>	<u>iistrato</u>	<u>rs</u> nece	essary for the sustenance of your
ats:						
High community turnover	1	2	3	4	(5)	Minimal community turnover
iii) Who do you consider to b program?	e the e	ssentia	l <u>comn</u>	nunity	<u>membe</u>	ers necessary for the sustenance of your
its:						
	ii) Who do you consider to be program?ts:High community turnoveriii) Who do you consider to b program?	ii) Who do you consider to be the esprogram? ts: High community turnover ① iii) Who do you consider to be the exprogram?	ii) Who do you consider to be the essential program? ts: High community turnover ① ② iii) Who do you consider to be the essential program?	ii) Who do you consider to be the essential admir program? ts: High community turnover ① ② ③ iii) Who do you consider to be the essential comm program?	ii) Who do you consider to be the essential administrator program? ts: High community turnover ① ② ③ ④ iii) Who do you consider to be the essential community program?	ii) Who do you consider to be the essential administrators necessary? ts: High community turnover ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ iii) Who do you consider to be the essential community member program?

12. The District's and/or School's Missions and Policies Support Effective S-L.										
A) The district's policies provide support for effective service-learning.										
Choose One: O Weak Support O Medium Support O Strong Support	Comments:									
B) The school's policies provide support for effective service-learning.										
Choose One: O Weak Support O Medium Support O Strong Support	Comments:									
percentage of		ervice-	learni	ng init	iatives	, availa	es. Include items such as the ability of other paid staff, internal and etc.			
i) There is	teaching staff.									
Staff have responsibil learning with minimal t	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Staff have responsibility for service- learning with maximum time allocation.				

ii)	There is administrative st	aff.									
	ntive staff are assigned to vice-learning initiatives.	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Administrative staff have substantial responsibility for service-learning initiatives.				
Comments:							unnanves.				
iii) There is support staff.											
No other si	aff (aides, coordinators)	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Full-time staff (includes all areas of				
Comments:							instruction)				
iv)	There is external staff (A	meriCo	rps, Vl	[STA,]	parents	, volun	teers, etc.).				
	No external staff support	1	2	3	4	(5)	Adequate and appropriate external support				
Comments:							**				
v)	External staff are used in	deliver	y of the	e servi	ce-lear	ning in	itiative.				
Comment on	how they participate.										
D) Liab	ility coverage for our serv	ice-lea	rning :	activit	ies inc	ludes t	he following areas/issues:				
i)	Written liability policies										
	No written policy	①	2	3	4	(5)	Board approved formal policies				
Comments:											
ii)	Liability policies cover:										
	Students only	1	2	3	4	(5)	Full coverage for everyone involved in the service-learning activity				
Comments:							activity				
I											

iii) Liability covers all school-related off-campus conduct.										
No coverage for off-campus activities	1	2	3	4	(5)	Full coverage for all off-campus activities				
Comments:						activities				
E) Our transportation policy allows for student travel for service-learning activities.										
i) Location of service sites										
Difficult to access	1	2	3	4	(5)	Easy to access Varies				
Comments:										
	d comp	oletely	by sch	ool or o	district	for out-of-classroom service activities:				
Transportation not provided	1	2	3	4	(5)	All transportation provided for all students				
Comments:										
	••		111	••	1.1					
iii) Transportation is easily a			-							
Not readily available	①	2	3	4	(5)	Always readily available				
Which out-of-classroom activities use	transpo	rtation	?							
			. ,.		`					
List other sources of transportation (co	mmunı	ity orga	ınızatı	on, etc.).					
Transportation is funded by:										
Transportation is randed by:										
Comments:										

13) There Is Ongoing Staff Development for All Members of Our S-L Initiative.										
A) Teachers/professionals are trained in monitoring and coordinating techniques for out-of-classroom service-learning settings.										
Nof	formal training	g or experience	①	2	3	4	(5)	Formal course work, in-service, or prior experience monitoring and coordinating learning		
B) Teachers and other staff receive regular training and/or development.										
		No training	1	2	3	4	(5)	Consistent training		
C) Training and/or development is available through the state, district, or other organization.										
Circle a	all that apply:									
	State	District Un	niversit	ty	Profes	sional	organiz	zation Other		
Commer	nts:									
D) (Credit is avail	able for training	and/o	r deve	lopmeı	nt.				
Circle a	all that apply:									
	State	District Un	niversit	ty	Profes	sional	organiz	zation Other		
Commer	nts:									
E) (Community m	nembers receive r	egular	r train	ing an	d/or de	evelopn	nent.		
		No training	①	2	3	4	(5)	Consistent training		
Commer	nts:									

Other Issues of Policy and Parameters You Consider Important:									

Section IV. Practice and Pedagogy

What teachers, students, community partners and administrators do to implement service-learning

Mark the response that most closely describes the practice and pedagogy that exist in your school or district. Write your answers in the space provided and/or use additional paper, if necessary.										
14. Students Play an Active Role in Selecting, Developing, Implementing, and Assessing Our Service-Learning Activities.										
A) Students are involved in program development and assessment of learning. Respond to this statement by using the following considerations.										
i)	Students are involved in o	levelop	ing cui	ricula	and pr	ogramı	ning with community members.			
	Not involved	1	2	3	4	(5)	Totally involved			
Comments:										
ii) Students are involved in setting learning goals.										
	Not involved	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Totally involved			
Comments:										
				.1						
111)	Students are involved in i	mpleme	enting	the ser	vice-le					
	Not involved	①	2	3	4	(5)	Totally involved			
Comments:										
iv)	Students are involved in e	valuatii	ng serv	vice-le	arning.					
	Not involved	1	2	3	4	(5)	Totally involved			
Comments:										
v)	Students are prepared for	the exp	perienti	al lear	ning p	rocess.				
	No preparation	1	2	3	4	(5)	Continuous preparation			
Comments:										

vi) Students develop programs that address educational standards/mandates.											
Never Comments:	①	2	3	4	(5)	Always					
vii) Students feel ownership, participate in decision making.											
Students feel no ownership and do not participate in decision making. Comments:	1	2	3	4	(5)	Students have ownership and participate in decision making.					
viii) Teachers support student engagement in development and assessment.											
Teachers believe students should not be involved. Comments:	1	2	3	4	(5)	Teachers fully support student involvement.					
ix) Administrators support student engagement in development and assessment.											
Administrators do not support engagement. Comments:	1	2	3	4	(5)	Administrators fully support engagement.					
15. Structured Student Reflecti Fulfillment of Curricular O A) Reflection on S-L is multifacet	bjecti		ages (Critica	al Thi	inking and Is Central to					
Not structured	1	2	3	4	(5)	Highly structured					
Single form	1	2	3	4	(5)	Multiple forms					
Done once	①	2	3	4	(5)	Done continuously					
Done pre-activity Comments:	①	② Done	③ mid-ac	4 ctivity	(5)	Done post-activity					

B) Adec	quate time is provided for	reflect	ion.							
	No reflection time	1	2	3	4	(5)	Sufficient reflection time			
Comments:										
C) Wha	t is reflected on?									
Comments:										
D) Refle	ection connects the service	-learni	ng act	ivity w	vith the	curric	culum.			
	No connection	1	2	3	4	(5)	Full connection			
Comments:										
16 Our In	nitiativa Includas Trai	nina	Cuna	muicio	n on	d Mor	nitoring of S-L and All People			
Involve		ıımıg,	Supe	1 11510	711, a11	u Mo	intoring of S-L and An Teople			
	thers and other profession ning settings.	al staff	are a	vailabl	le to m	onitor	students at out-of-classroom service-			
i)	 School personnel monitor/supervise our service-learning students while they are out of the classroom: 									
	ot monitored while out of oom for service-learning.	(1)	2	3	4)	(5)	Students are appropriately monitored while out of classroom for service-			
	oom for service-learning.	Ü				O	learning.			
Comments:										

ii) Students are monitored/supervised by (check all that apply):						
O Teachers, administrators, coordinators (school staff) O Community partners or agency staff O Trained volunteers (parents, VISTA, AmeriCorps, etc.) O Others						
Comments:						
iii) Monitoring/supervising of students takes the form of (check all that apply):						
O Observation O Written communication O Phone conversations O Checklists O Other						
Comments:						
iv) While students are being monitored/supervised, the focus is on (check all that apply):						
O Learning core concepts/principles associated with course/program O Attendance O Student behavior O Career development O Problem-solving process O All of the above O Other						
Comments:						
v) Teachers/professionals are trained in monitoring/supervising techniques.						
No formal training ① ② ③ ④ ⑤ Formal training Comments:						

17. S-L Students Are Engaged in Responsible and Challenging Actions for the Common Good That Meet Genuine Needs in the School or Community and Have Significant Consequences.										
A) Students perform challenging and responsible actions.										
No challenging and responsible actions	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Challenging and responsible actions				
Comments:										
B) Actions are directed toward the common good.										
Common good is peripheral.	1	2	3	4	(5)	Common good is central.				
Comments:										
C) We perform needs assessment activities (such as issue analysis, research, talking with community members about an issue, etc.) before we begin any S -L activity.										
No needs assessment	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Continuous needs assessments				
D) The community has input into the selection of activities.										
No input	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Appropriate community input				
E) The community we serve provide	les fee	dback	on the	value	and ef	fectiveness of service.				
No feedback	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Continuous feedback				
F) Significant consequences result	from S	S-L act	tivities	•						
Students cannot recognize consequences.	1	2	3	4	(5)	Students recognize consequences.				
Community members cannot recognize consequences.	1	2	3	4	(5)	Community members recognize consequences.				
 18. Student Learning Through Service Is Directly Tied to Regular Class Objectives and Activities. A) Service-learning assignments, including homework, are connected to class objectives. 										
Not connected	①	2	3	4	(5)	Strongly connected				
Comments:	O	O	O	O	O					

ng

ii) Kinds of change or improvement	
O Social behaviors O Self-discipline O Academic performance O Leadership skills O Citizenship skills O Attitudinal changes (toward school, learning, interactions, etc.) O Affective changes (self-esteem, personal happiness) O Career knowledge O Ethical/moral Comments:	
iii) Application of changes or improvement:	
O Applying specific classroom learning to a service context O Applying service context to specific classroom learning O Other Comments:	
19. S-L Occurs During Regular School Hours.	
A) Flexibility in schedule	

19. S-L Occurs During Regular School Hours.							
A) Flexibilit	ty in schedule						
Comments:	Rigid/non-flexible	1	2	3	4	(5)	Very flexible
B) Time uni	its						
Short/inadequ	uate blocks of service- learning time	1	2	3	4	(5)	Longer/adequate blocks of service- learning time

Other Issues of Practice and Pedagogy You Consider Important:	

Section V. Assessment and Accountability

Evidence that the service-learning initiative is meeting its goals and the process and results are being reported.

Mark the response that most closely describes the assessment and accountability that exist in your school or district. Write your answers in the space provided and/or use additional paper, if necessary.							
	20. Our Assessment Plan Is Clear, Purposeful, and Linked to State Standards and District Learning Objectives.						
A) Our as	sessment/accountability	plan o	an be	chara	cterize	d as fo	llows:
i)]	Purpose of assessment						
Comments:	No clear purpose	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Purpose is clear to all parties.
ii) l	Development of plan						
Comments:	Few involved	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Wide involvement of teachers, administrators, students, and community
iii) (Clarity of plan						
No Comments:	o clear or specific plan	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Clear and measurable goals and objectives
B) Our as	sessment plan is linked	to state	e and/o	or othe	er stan	dards.	
O State stand O Other stand Comments:	Never dards dards	①	2	3	4	(5)	Always

C) Our assessment	plan is linked	to dist	rict lea	rning	object	ives.	
	Never	1	2	3	4	(5)	Always
Comments:							

21. Our Assessment Process Is	21. Our Assessment Process Is Appropriately Frequent and Thorough.							
A) Our assessment process include	A) Our assessment process includes the following:							
i) Frequency								
No evaluation	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Continuous evaluation		
Comments:								
ii) Participants								
No one involved in evaluation	(1)	2	3	(4)	(5)	Key participants are involved in		
Comments:	•	•	•	O	•	evaluation		
iii) Types of Data								
Single data source (limited methods)	1	2	3	4	(5)	Multiple data sources and methods		
Comments:								
iv) Accountability								
No accountability	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	Data tied to local/state accountability		
	U	Œ)	9	•	9	measures such as standards or curriculum guidelines		
Comments:								

	22. Our Assessment Looks at the Different Sectors (Students, Teachers, Parents, Community, School, etc.) Involved in S-L.								
A) The assessment of the ef	A) The assessment of the effectiveness of our activities indicates the following:								
i) Impact on students									
Not considered in evalua Comments:	tion ①	2	3	4	(5)	An important evaluation component			
ii) Impact on commun	ity								
Not considered in evalua Comments:	tion (1)	2	3	4	(5)	An important evaluation component			
iii) Impact on teachers									
Not considered in evalua Comments:	tion ①	2	3	4	(\$)	An important evaluation component			
iv) Impact on school									
Not considered in evalua Comments:	tion (1)	2	3	4	(5)	An important evaluation component			
v) Impact on district									
Not considered in evalua Comments:	tion (1)	2	3	4	(5)	An important evaluation component			
vi) Other areas of impa	act								
Please list other areas of impact:									

23.	Our Assessment S Improvement.	Secures E	nough	Pert	inent	Data	to M	easure Ef	ffectiveness and Guide
A) We use assessment	to plan futu	ıre act	ivities					
		Never	1)	2	3	4	(5)	Always	
Con	nments:								
В	·	t to monitor	the im	pleme	ntatior	of the	e progr	am (contin	uous program
	improvement).								
		Never	1	2	3	4	(5)	Always	
Con	nments:								
C	C) We use student lea	rning outco	me dat	a for p	orogra	m imp	rovem	ent.	
		Never	1	2	3	4	(5)	Always	
Con	nments:								
D) We use community	y assessment	data f	or pro	gram	impro	vement	t.	
		Never	1	2	3	4	(5)	Always	
Con	nments:								
T-	D XX 7	1 4 4 1			4 ee	,•	6.41		
E	We use assessment								arning initiative.
		Never	1	2	3	4	(5)	Always	
Con	nments:								

Other Issues of Assessment and Accountability You Consider Important:	

What's Next? Creating an Action Plan

Remember that the purpose of this self-assessment process is to provide you with useful information that can guide improvements of your service-learning initiative. To move from information to action, use this sheet to help develop an explicit plan and decide how to assess progress toward improvement of your initiative.

reas for impr	ovement
ction steps to	improve our service-learning initiative
Action prio	rity 1
What?	
By whom?	
By when? _	
How to asse	ss changes?
Action prio	rity 2
What?	
By whom?	
By when? _	
How to asse	ss changes?
	sources (e.g., other teachers, parents):

Now that you have identified strengths and weaknesses of your initiative, it will help to share this with other service-learning practitioners, especially those who will help you improve your practice. Follow up with colleagues in your school, district, and larger service-learning community to both discuss the results of this assessment and choose ways to make the improvements you have identified as most needed for your service-learning initiative.

Monitoring the Action Plan

Use copies of this sheet to periodically review progress and revise plans for improving your service-learning initiative.

DATE
Current strengths of our service-learning initiative
·
2
3
Areas currently needing improvement
l
2
3
Action priority 1:
Progress:
Obstacles:
What to do next:
Action priority 2:
Progress:
Obstacles:
What to do next:
Overall assessment (did things improve?):